



\$~7

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CRL.REV.P. 655/2022, CRL.M.(BAIL) 1213/2022

RATI RAM & ANR.

.....Petitioners

Through: Mr. Puneet Mittal, Senior Advocate
with Mr. Manoj Kumar, Mr. Suman
Kathuria and Ms. Ruksana,
Advocates.

versus

STATE OF NCT OF DELHI

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Hemant Mehla, APP for State.
Insp. Rajbir Singh, PS-Vikas Puri and
Insp. Anand Kr, PS-Dayalpur.
SI Saujeet Singh, PS-SP Badli.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJEEV NARULA

ORDER

22.12.2025

%

1. The Petitioners are retired police officials who were convicted for offences punishable under Sections 344, 346, 348, 166, 365, 368 and 326 read with Section 120B of the Indian Penal Code, 1860, *vide* judgment of conviction dated 30th July, 2016, and were sentenced by order dated 31st August, 2016
2. In appeal, by judgement dated 6th April, 2022, the conviction was partly set aside and the Petitioners were acquitted for offences under Sections 365/ 368 read with Section 120B IPC. Further, their conviction under Section 326 IPC was modified to Section 325 IPC and the sentence for the offences under Section 344/346/166 IPC was upheld. Both the



Petitioners were released on probation for a period of two years. The said probation period is now over.

3. The Petitioners' grievance is that their retiral benefits have been withheld on account of their having assailed the impugned orders, and owing to the pendency of the present petition. Mr. Puneet Mittal, Senior Counsel for the Petitioners, submits that such withholding is impermissible, particularly in view of the provisions of the Probation of Offenders Act, 1958 under which the Petitioners, having already completed the probation period, cannot be deprived of their retiral entitlements.

4. In light of the foregoing, it is directed that notwithstanding the pendency of the present petition, the retiral benefit, if any, due to the Petitioners be processed.

5. List on 9th February, 2026.

SANJEEV NARULA, J

DECEMBER 22, 2025

nk