



\$~30

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.A. 273/2018**

NEERAJ

.....Appellant

Through: Ms. Aishwarya Rao, Advocate with
Ms. Gayatri Virmani and Ms. Mansi
Rao, Advocates

versus

STATE (NCT OF DELHI)

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Utkarsh, APP for State with SI
Arun Kumar
Ms. Astha, Advocate (DHCLSC) with
Ms. Megha Singh, Advocate for
Prosecutrix

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE CHANDRASEKHARAN SUDHA

ORDER

%

18.05.2026

CRL.M.A. 13605/2026

1. The order dated 23.04.2026 reads thus:-

“CRL.M.A. 11006/2026 (seeking cancellation of NBWs)

1. It was noticed that on 13.02.2026 that the appellant was not turning up before the Court for quite some time. Hence, bailable warrant was issued. Thereafter, non-bailable warrant was issued to be executed through the Station House Officer concerned, returnable on 23.04.2026.



2. *The earlier bail bond executed stands forfeited. Hence, the sentence shall stand suspended on execution of a fresh bond of ₹25,000/- with two solvent sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the trial court concerned and the fresh bail bond shall be executed within a period of one week from the date of this order. He shall deposit the earlier bond amount of ₹25,000/- within a period of one month from the date of his order.*

3. *The request of the learned counsel for the appellant to reduce the bond amount is rejected as it is seen that the appellant was never prompt in appearing before the Court. The learned counsel for the appellant on 13.02.2026 had submitted that the appellant was not in touch with her. Thus, it was seen that he was neither appearing before the Court nor was he giving necessary instructions to his counsel. It was in the said circumstances, NBW had been issued to secure his presence.*

4. *The application stands disposed of.*

CRL.A. 273/2018

5. *List on 29.07.2026”.*

2. On 23.04.2026, the case was adjourned to 29.07.2026.

However, the matter was taken up on 30.04.2026 as an application

i.e. CRL.M.A. 13605/2026 was filed. The said order read thus:-



“CRL.M.A. 13605/2026

1. Advocate Shubham appearing on behalf of the Advocate Aishwarya Rao repeatedly requests for two weeks’ time to comply with the order dated 23.04.2026, despite the Court saying that the appeal can itself be disposed of and the matter can be taken up for final hearing on 04.05.2026.

2. Hence, in the light of the submissions made by the learned counsel for the appellant, the matter is adjourned to 18.05.2026”.

3. On 30.04.2026, a specific prayer was sought for two weeks to comply with the order dated 23.04.2026. Hence, the matter was adjourned to 18.05.2026 as requested by the learned counsel for the appellant for the purpose of complying with the order dated 23.04.2026. This submission was made despite the fact that the learned counsel for the appellant was directed to address the final arguments on the said date. As final arguments were not addressed on the said date, two weeks’ time as sought for, for complying with the order dated 23.04.2026 was granted and the matter was adjourned to this date.



4. However today, the learned counsel for the appellant submits that she is ready to argue the matter but not complied with the order. The matter was adjourned to this date for enabling the appellant to comply with the order dated 23.04.2026.

5. Hence, in such circumstances, the appellant is directed to surrender before the authority concerned by 11:00 A.M. on 19.05.2026.

6. The application stands disposed of.

CRL.A. 273/2018

1. List the matter for final hearing on 25.05.2026.
2. The date already fixed, i.e. 29.07.2026 stands cancelled.

CHANDRASEKHARAN SUDHA, J

MAY 18, 2026

p'ma