



\$~2 & 3

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ MAC.APP. 311/2024 & CM APPL. 36347/2024

RINKU SAROJAppellant

Through: Mr. Jitesh Sharma, Adv.

versus

PARMOD @ PADAM CHAKRA DIWAKAR & ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. A K Soni, Adv. for R-2/
Insurance Co.

3

+ MAC.APP. 372/2024&CM APPL. 41648/2024

RINKU SAROJAppellant

Through: Mr. Jitesh Sharma, Adv.

versus

MANISH CHAWLA & ANR. (RAHEJA GENERAL INSURANCE
COMPANY)Respondents

Through: Mr. A K Soni, Adv. for R-2/
Insurance Co.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANISH DAYAL

ORDER

06.01.2026

%

1. Proxy counsel for appellant requests for an adjournment on the ground that main counsel is not available today.
2. Respondent nos. 1 and 2 are represented through their respective counsels, who are appearing through VC.
3. It has been pointed out by counsel for respondent no.1 that while



issuing notice on 3rd July 2024, no directions were issued for deposit of award amount. It is thus prayed that appropriate directions in this regard may be issued.

4. It is accordingly directed that counsel for appellant shall take instructions regarding deposit of 50% of the awarded amount with the Registrar of this Court.

5. *Mr. Soni*, counsel for respondent no.2 /Insurance Company, points out that they have been exonerated by the Award, considering that the policy itself was found to be forged and fabricated.

6. List these matters for further consideration on 27th March 2026.

7. Order be uploaded on the website of this Court.

ANISH DAYAL, J

JANUARY 6, 2026/sm/tk