



\$~7

*

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+

CS(COMM) 535/2024

SAREGAMA INDIA LIMITED

.....Plaintiff

Through: Mr. Shashwat Rakshit, Adv.

versus

EMAMI LIMITED

.....Defendant

Through: Ms. Roohe Hina Dua, Mr.
Hakshit Khanduia & Ms.
Dhanakshi Gandhi, Advs.

CORAM:

**SIDHARTH MATHUR (DHJS), JOINT REGISTRAR
(JUDICIAL)**

ORDER

%

08.08.2024

The defendant has already filed the written statement on 02.08.2024. Its replication be now filed in accordance with law.

Once their pleadings are completed, the parties shall then file the photocopies of their admitted-documents, if not already filed and the joint schedule of the documents.

List the matter for admission-denial and marking of exhibits on **21.10.2024**.

IA No. 32063/2024 (By plaintiff u/o 39 Rules 1 & 2 r/w Section 151 CPC praying for interim injunction against the defendant)

The counsel for the defendant states that she had already filed the reply, but the registry has objected to it on the ground of some delay of 1-2 days. She orally requests for its condonation. Keeping in view the totality of the circumstances, the same is



condoned. The registry shall place this reply on record, unless there are any further objections. Its rejoinder be now filed in due course.

**SIDHARTH MATHUR (DHJS)
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)**

AUGUST 8, 2024/jr

Click here to check corrigendum, if any