



\$~ SB-23

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ RFA 548/2025

MRS. PUSHPA KUMARI & ANR.Appellants

Through: Mr. S.K. Rungta, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Amit Kumar, Advocates.

versus

MR. VINOD KUMAR GOYALRespondent

Through: Mr. Bharat Sareen, Advocate
(through V.C.)

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ JAIN

ORDER

27.06.2025

%

CM APPL. 36324/2025 (stay)

1. The appellants inform that the next date in execution is 29.06.2025 and learned Senior Counsel for appellants seeks interim relief.
2. The execution has been filed with respect to the aspect related to money decree only and no execution with respect to possession has yet been filed.
3. Mr. Bharat Sareen, learned counsel for respondent/Decree Holder submits that the appellants are not entitled to any relief as before the learned Executing Court, they had given cheques worth Rs.4 lacs but when these cheques were presented for encashment, they were returned dishonoured for the reason “stop payment”.



4. Mr. Rungta, learned Senior Counsel for the appellants, on instructions, submits that it would be ensured that the abovesaid balance decretal amount of Rs.4 lacs is duly paid/tendered to the Decree Holder on 29.06.2025 before the learned Executing Court.
5. Let it be done.
6. Both the sides would be at liberty to file written submissions.
7. Let Trial Court record also be requisitioned.
8. Both the sides would also appear in person in order to find out whether the matter can still be amicably resolved or not.
9. List on 07.08.2025 before the learned Roster Bench.

MANOJ JAIN, J
(VACATION JUDGE)

JUNE 27, 2025
st/pb