



\$~24

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 3478/2020**

SHALINI SINGH

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Ravi Kumar, Advocate.

versus

UNITED INDIA INSURANCE CO.LTD. & ORS.Respondents

Through: Mr. Nikhil Jain, Advocate for R-1, 2,
3 and 5.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE TARA VITASTA GANJU

ORDER

%

10.01.2025

CM APPL. 1085/2025 [Exemption from filing certified copy]

1. Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.
2. Application stands disposed of.

REVIEW PET. 3/2025

3. The present Petition has been received on transfer from the roster bench in view of a Review Petition that was filed by the Petitioner.
4. Learned Counsel for the Petitioner submits that the matter has become infructuous and seeks and is granted permission to withdraw the present Review Petition.
5. The Review Petition is accordingly dismissed as withdrawn.
6. Learned Counsel for the Respondents draws the attention of the Court to a paragraph of the Review Petition to submit that the Petitioner is in the habit of using very strong language or filing vexatious pleadings. He relies



on the following extract:

“@ Para 1 - Recording of order is both erroneous and prejudicial to the extent of justifying that later act of Petitioner caused failure of the past event of mediation which recording is prima facie erroneous and also a deranged averment by respondent which should not subsist in a judicial order.”

7. The Petitioner is warned to caution her Counsel to file pleadings in proper manner and not abuse the process of the Court. The Petitioner today is let off with a warning.

W.P.(C) 3478/2020

8. List before the Roster Bench on the date already fixed, i.e., 07.02.2025.

TARA VITASTA GANJU, J

JANUARY 10, 2025/pa

[Click here to check corrigendum, if any](#)