



\$~45 & 46

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CONT.CAS(C) 821/2019, CM APPL. 52768/2024**

ANJELI VAID

.....Petitioner

Through: Ms. Aakanksha Kaul, Advocate.

versus

MR. RAKESH KUMAR & ORS.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Naushad Ahmed Khan, Ms. Supriya Malik, Ms. Shivani Yadav and Mr. Gabrilla, Advocates for R-1. Ms. Hetu Arora Sethi, ASC with Mr. Arjum Basra, Advocate for R-5, 7 and 8.

Mr. Rishikesh Kumar, ASC with Ms. Sheenu Priya, Mr. Vikas Saini, Mr. Atik Gill and Mr. Sudhir, Advocates for GNCTD.

+ **W.P.(C) 9239/2019**

ANJELI VAID

.....Petitioner

Through: Ms. Aakanksha Kaul, Advocate.

versus

GOVT OF NATIONAL CAPITAL TERRITORY OF DELHI

THROUGH ITS STANDING COUNSEL & ORSRespondents

Through: Mr. Rishikesh Kumar, ASC with Ms. Sheenu Priya, Mr. Vikas Saini, Mr. Atik Gill and Mr. Sudhir, Advocates for GNCTD.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE TARA VITASTA GANJU

ORDER

20.01.2025

%

1. Learned Counsel for the Petitioner submits that the Reply has been received by the Petitioner only this morning. She seeks some time to file the



Rejoinder.

2. Let the Rejoinder be filed within a period of four weeks.
3. List on 23.03.2025.

TARA VITASTA GANJU, J

JANUARY 20, 2025/pa

[Click here to check corrigendum, if any](#)