



\$~53 & 54

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **CONT.CAS(C) 821/2019**

ANJELI VAID

.....Petitioner

Through: Ms. Akanksha Kaul, Adv.

versus

MR. RAKESH KUMAR & ORS.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Rishikesh Kumar, ASC with Ms. Sheenu Priya and Mr. Atik Gill, Adv. for GNCTD.
Ms. Hetu Arora Sethi, ASC GNCTD with Mr. Arjun Basra, Adv. for R-5, 6 & 8

(54)

+ **W.P.(C) 9239/2019**

ANJELI VAID

.....Petitioner

Through: Ms. Akanksha Kaul, Adv.

versus

GOVT OF NATIONAL CAPITAL TERRITORY OF DELHI

THROUGH ITS STANDING COUNSEL & ORSRespondents

Through: Mr. Rishikesh Kumar, ASC with Ms. Sheenu Priya and Mr. Atik Gill, Adv. for GNCTD.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE TARA VITASTA GANJU

ORDER

09.10.2024

%

1. Learned Counsel for the parties seek and are granted some more time to comply with the order dated 10.09.2024 in CONT.CAS(C) 821/2019, more specifically paragraph 8 and 9, passed by this Court.
2. The report of the Registry is that notice could not be issued to Respondent No.7 since process fee was not filed. On steps being taken, let fresh Notice be issued to Respondent No.7 via all modes. An affidavit of service be filed within a week.



3. List on 18.11.2024 at 4 PM.

TARA VITASTA GANJU, J

OCTOBER 9, 2024/r

Click here to check corrigendum, if any