



\$~6

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CS(OS) 583/2023**

TRILOK PAL SHARMA

.....Plaintiff

Through: Mr. Gaurav Kumar Pandey, Adv.

versus

RATTAN LAL AND ORS

.....Defendants

Through: Ms. Kritika Gupta, Adv. for D-2, 3, 5
to 9, 10 to 12 & 16 to 24

Mr. Md. Sajid Ansari, Adv. for D-26

CORAM:

DR. JAGMINDER SINGH (DHJS) JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)

ORDER

%

22.01.2026

I.A. 18236/2023 (under Order XXXIX Rule 1 & 2 CPC)

1. Reply filed by defendant no. 26.
2. No reply has been filed by other defendants.

CS(OS) 583/2023

3. Defendants no. 1 & 4, 5 to 7, 9 to 12 and 16 to 24 had not filed written statement despite service.
4. Written statement with affidavit of admission/denial filed on behalf of defendant no. 26. As statutory period already expired, right of plaintiff to file replication qua the written statement of defendant no. 26 stands closed.
5. It is submitted by learned counsel for plaintiff that he will take appropriate steps as he has been informed by learned opposite counsel that defendant no. 23 has been expired.
6. Defendants no. 8, 13, 14, 15 & 25 are not yet served.



7. Let fresh summons be issued to defendants no. 8, 13, 14, 15 & 25 through all approved modes, including *dasti*, on taking steps on behalf of the plaintiff before next date of hearing.
8. Put up for completion of service and completion of pleadings in accordance with law on 27.04.2026.

DR. JAGMINDER SINGH (DHJS)
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)

JANUARY 22, 2026/tp