



\$~7

\* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CS(OS) 609/2022**  
**MANJEET SINGH**

.....Plaintiff

Through: Ms. Pavitra Kaur and Ms.  
Shreya Mishra, Advocates.

versus

**JAI BHAGWAN & ANR.**

.....Defendants

Through: Mr. Israel Ali, Advocate  
for D1 and D2.  
Mr. Abhik Kumar and Mr.  
Rinku Mathur, Advocates  
for D3 and D4.

**CORAM:**

**JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL) SH. DEEPAK  
DABAS (DHJS)**

**ORDER**  
**14.10.2025**

%

**CS(OS) 609/2022**

1. Vide order dated 09.04.2025 Hon'ble court had directed this court to carry out admission/denial of documents.
2. Counsel for plaintiff submits that she had received copy of documents filed by defendant no. 1 and 2 on 02.02.2023. It is further submitted that she had filed an application u/O VI Rule 17 CPC seeking amendment of plaint on 13.02.2023 wherein plaintiff had challenged the documents filed by defendant no. 1 and 2 and the affidavit annexed with said application be treated as affidavit of admission/denial of documents.
3. On being asked whether the affidavit of admission/denial of documents has been filed as per the



proforma prescribed by The Delhi High Court (Original Side) Rules, 2018, learned counsel for plaintiff seeks adjournment for producing case law as well as for addressing arguments on this aspect.

4. Same is strongly opposed by learned counsel for D1 and D2.
5. However, in the interest of justice adjournment is granted.
6. Re-notify on 06.02.2026 for arguments on aforesaid aspect as well as for admission/denial of documents and marking of exhibits.

**DEEPAK DABAS (DHJS),  
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)**

**OCTOBER 14, 2025/anj**