



\$~98

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **RFA(COMM) 209/2023 and CM Nos.48353/2023 and 48354/2023**

RENU MITTAL AND ANRAppellants
Through: Mr Shivam Gera, Advocate.
versus
ARUN BANSAL AND ANRRespondents
Through: Mr Mandeep Bansal, Advocate

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIBHU BAKHRU

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SACHIN DATTA

ORDER

% **18.09.2024**

1. Once again, a request for adjournment is made on behalf of the learned counsel for the appellants. It is stated that he is unwell.
2. The learned counsel appearing for the respondents opposes this request. He submits that repeated adjournments have been taken before the executing court on account of pendency of the present appeal and therefore, the impugned decree has not been executed. In this regard, we clarify that this Court has not passed any order staying the execution of the impugned judgment and decree.
3. At the request of the learned counsel for the appellants, list on 22.10.2024.

VIBHU BAKHRU, J

SACHIN DATTA, J

SEPTEMBER 18, 2024

RK

Click here to check corrigendum, if any