



\$~26 & 27

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

RFA(COMM) 89/2023

SHAKUNTALA BHATIA

.....APPELLANT

Through: Counsel (appearance not given)

versus

SALANG INTERNATIONAL

.....RESPONDENT

Through: Mr. Pawan Kr. Singh, Adv

27

+ RFA(COMM) 208/2023, CM APPL. 48291/2023-Delay 254 days

SALANG INTERNATIONAL (THROUGH ITS PROPRIETOR SH.
ANIL JAIN)

.....APPELLANT

Through: Mr. Pawan Kr. Singh, Adv

versus

SHAKUNTALA BHATIA

.....RESPONDENT

Through: Counsel (appearance not given)

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE REKHA PALLI

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SAURABH BANERJEE

ORDER

% **18.02.2025**

1. Taking into account that both appeals are connected, both sides pray for and are granted liberty to file short written submissions, not running into more than five pages, each only in RFA(COMM) 89/2023.
2. Further, it is pointed out by the learned counsel for the parties that the order dated 18.09.2023 passed in RFA(COMM) 208/2023 inadvertently records that notice was being issued to the appellant, whereas the Court had



intended to issue notice to the respondent.

3. Having perused the said order, we clarify that notice in the appeal be treated to have been already issued to the respondent on 18.09.2023.

4. List on 22.07.2025.

REKHA PALLI, J

SAURABH BANERJEE, J

FEBRUARY 18, 2025/Ab