



\$~17

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CS(OS) 600/2022**

INDER KUMAR GULATI

.....Plaintiff

Through: Mr. S.K. Sharma & Mr. Tejas
Singh, Advs.

versus

CHARANJEET GULATI & ORS.

.....Defendant

Through: Mr. Anant Kathuria, Adv. for D-1
& 2.

Mr. Viney Sharma, Adv. for D-3
& 4 (through VC).

Mr. Vikhyat Oberoi & Ms. Jagriti
Pandey, Advs. for D-5 & 6.

CORAM:

**JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL) SH. DEVENDER
KUMAR GARG (DHJS)**

ORDER

09.01.2023

%

I.A. No. 15876/2022 (u/O XXXIX Rules 1 & 2 of CPC).

1. As per office noting, reply to the captioned IA was filed on behalf of defendants no.1 and 2 on 25.12.2022.
2. Ld. Counsel for plaintiff submits that he has not received copy of the reply on behalf of defendants no.1 and 2. Ld. Counsel for defendants no.1 and 2 submits that he has already supplied the same, however, without going into any controversy, he will again supply the same within one week from today. Let same be supplied accordingly.
3. No reply to the captioned IA filed on behalf of defendants no.3 to 6.



4. Ld. Counsel for defendants no.3 and 4 submits that he does not wish to file any reply to the captioned IA.
5. The defendants no.5 and 6 may file reply to the captioned IA within one week with advance copy to the opposite party, who may file rejoinder, if any, thereafter.
6. It is submitted that the captioned IA is coming up for hearing before the Hon'ble Court on 18.01.2023.
7. Let the captioned IA be placed before the Hon'ble Court for further directions on **18th January, 2023.**

CS(OS) 600/2022

1. It is submitted that the matter is coming up for hearing before the Hon'ble Court on 18.01.2023.
2. As per office noting, vakalatnama was filed on behalf of defendants no.1 and 2 on 27.12.2022.
3. Office noting further reveals that written statement filed vide diary no.2090262 dated 25.12.2022 and documents filed vide diary no.2090264 dated 25.12.2022, on behalf of the defendants no.1 and 2, were returned under objection. Let appropriate steps be taken as per law.
4. Ld. Counsel for defendants no.1 and 2 submits that he has also filed application for condonation of delay in filing reply to the captioned IA. However, the same does not find mention in the office noting. Let appropriate steps be taken as per law.
5. Ld. Counsel for defendants no.5 and 6 submits that she has filed written statement vide diary no.28621 of 2023. However, the same does not find mention in the office noting. Let appropriate steps be taken as per law.
6. Ld. Counsel for defendants no.3 and 4 submits that he has filed written statement vide diary no.18990 of 2023 dated



06.01.2023. However, the same does not find mention in the office noting. Let appropriate steps be taken as per law.

7. Ld. Counsel for plaintiff submits that he has not received copy of any written statement. Ld. Counsels for all defendants submit that they have already sent soft copy of their respective written statements to Ld. Counsel for plaintiff, however, without going into any controversy, they will again supply the same within one week from today. Let the same be supplied accordingly.
8. The plaintiff may file replication alongwith affidavit of admission/denial of documents thereafter as per law.
9. Let the matter be placed before the Hon'ble Court for further directions on the date already fixed i.e. **18th January, 2023.**

**DEVENDER KUMAR GARG (DHJS)
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)**

JANUARY 09, 2023/v

[Click here to check corrigendum, if any](#)