



\$~O-24

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CS(OS) 628/2021, I.A. 15644/2021, & I.A. 180/2025**

DR RENU PURI

.....Plaintiff

Through: Appearance not given.

versus

SMT ANU MAHAJAN

.....Defendant

Through: Mr. Tushar Rohmetra, Adv.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PURUSHAINDR KUMAR KAURAV

ORDER

%

20.01.2025

I.A. 39458/2024

1. The instant application is filed on behalf of the defendant for vacation of the interim injunction granted in favour of the plaintiff.
2. Learned counsel appearing for the defendant submits that despite sufficient time granted by this Court including by the Joint Registrar, the plaintiff has failed to file the reply.
3. The aforesaid contention is refuted by learned counsel appearing for the plaintiff and he contends that the reply was filed within time, however, there were some defects.
4. Be that as it may. Since the reply has already been filed by the plaintiff, let the same be placed on record condoning the delay, if any.
5. Let the instant application be placed for consideration on 25.03.2025.
6. A copy of the reply after rectification of the defect pointed out by the



Registry be furnished to the learned counsel appearing for the applicant/defendant within three working days.

7. The liberty is granted to the defendant to file a rejoinder within two weeks thereafter.

PURUSHAINDR KUMAR KAURAV, J
JANUARY 20, 2025/P/MJO