



\$~SB-3

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 9691/2019**

RAM UGRAH SHARMA

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Vamsikrishna Thota, Advocate/
applicant.

Mr Nikunj Arora, Advocate for Mr
Ankur Chhibber, Advocate.

versus

UNION OF INDIA AND ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Jitesh Vikram Srivastava, SPC
and Mr. Prajesh Vikram Srivatsava,
Advocate.

Mr Ajay Pal, Law Officer, Mr Inder
Pal and Mr Ramniwas Yadav, CRPF.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE V. KAMESWAR RAO

HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SAURABH BANERJEE

ORDER

% **24.04.2026**

CM APPL. 54089/2025(Exemption)

1. Exemption is allowed, subject to all just exceptions.
2. The application stands disposed of.

CM APPL. 54088/2025(delay condonation in filing review petition)

3. For the reasons stated in the application and in view of no serious contest on behalf of the respondents, the delay of 495 days in filing the accompanying review petition stands condoned.
4. The application stands disposed of.

REVIEW PET. 451/2025

5. The applicant/petitioner moves this petition seeking review of the order dated 15.02.2024 whereby this Court in paragraph 2 onwards has



stated as under:-

“2. The only plea urged by Mr. Chhibber is that the claim of the petitioner is for Transport Allowance (‘TA’, for short) during the period of suspension of the petitioner by the respondents.

3. The submission of Mr. Chhibber is that the issuance of office order dated October 06, 2018, which we reproduce as under, the respondents had directed the petitioner to daily present himself in the office of Deputy Commandant (Admin) and mark his attendance and as the petitioner has been marking the attendance, he is entitled to the TA.

DTD- 06TH October, 2018

OFFICE ORDER

Shri Ram Ugrah Sharma, Second in Command (IRLA-5907) posted in 42 BN CRPF, after being declared Headquarter GC, CRPF, Jharoda Kalan, New Delhi-72 by Order of Honorable Delhi High Court has been granted permission for Coming and going (out living) from his allotted Govt. Quarter 36-Z, Chitragupta Road, Paharganj New Delhi to GC CRPF, Jharoda Kalan, New Delhi from Dated-04/09/2018 to interim order of Honorable Delhi High Court on the following condition:-

A. The Officer will daily present in this office and marked his attendance in the Office Of Deputy Commandant (Adm).

B. For any type of misconduct he, himself will be responsible

C. The officer will leave outside to the camp on his own responsibility.

D. The Officer gives his contact no. to Control Room and keep it always operational.

Authority:- Approved by The Deputy Inspector General of Police, GC, CRPF, New Delhi vide Office Note Dated 05/10/2018.

*-SD-
(Vinay Kumar, A/C)*



*For DIGP, GC,CRPF , New Delhi-72
MEMO NO-A-II-01/18-19-GC-BLDG*

4. On the other hand, the learned counsel for the respondents has drawn our attention to the OM at page 43 of the counter affidavit to contend that the policy did not permit payment of TA during the period of suspension. The said provision reads as under:-

“According to provision of CCS (CCA) Rules, 1965, suspended officer do not attend office during his suspension. Therefore, there is no justification for granting Transport Allowance for the period of suspension”

5. The said provision shall not be applicable in the facts of this case, more so, in view of the stipulation in the office order which state that the petitioner shall daily present himself in the office of Deputy Commandant (Admin) which means that the petitioner has to travel from his quarter to the office of the Deputy Commandant (Admin) and as such, he shall be entitled to TA. That apart, as during suspension, the petitioner is paid subsistence allowance only and as such there is no reason for the respondents to deny the petitioner TA as per Rules.

6. In the facts and circumstances of the present case, the petitioner shall be entitled to TA as per rules, during the period of suspension, as and when he has reported to the office of Deputy Commandant (Admin).

7. With the above, the petition is disposed of.”

6. Suffice to state that in so far as the prayer of the petitioner with regard to the Transport Allowance is concerned, the said issue has attained the finality, in view of our order dated 15.02.2024, of which review is sought.

7. The learned counsel for the applicant/petitioner states that the petitioner has also sought other prayers, which have not been considered in view of paragraph 2 of the order.

8. Mr Nikunj Arora, learned counsel appearing on behalf of Mr Ankur



Chhibber, states that the submission as recorded in paragraph 2 was on the instructions of the petitioner.

9. Without going into the said aspect as noted in paragraph 2, we recall the order dated 15.02.2024 with regard to prayers other than transport allowance which has attained finality.

10. The captioned petition shall now be considered for prayers other than transport allowance.

11. The review application is disposed of.

W.P.(C) 9691/2019

12. Subject to the orders of the Hon'ble the Chief Justice, list before the Roster Bench on 11.05.2026.

V. KAMESWAR RAO, J.

SAURABH BANERJEE, J.

APRIL 24, 2026

M