



\$~SB-2

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ RFA 537/2025

RAJENDRA KUMAR MEENA

.....Appellant

Through: Mr. Toshif Ahmed, Advocate via
video-conferencing.

versus

KARAN

.....Respondent

Through:

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUP JAIRAM BHAMBHANI

ORDER

08.07.2026

%

CM APPL. 82344/2025

By way of the present application filed under section 151 of the Code of Civil Procedure 1908, the appellant seeks condonation of 30 days' delay in re-filing the review of order dated 16.09.2025.

2. For the reasons stated in the application, which is not opposed, the delay is condoned.
3. The application is allowed.
4. Recall application is taken on record.

CM APPL. 82343/2025 (recalling of orders)

5. By way of the present application filed under section 151 of the Code of Civil Procedure 1908, the appellant seeks recall of order dated 16.09.2025 by which this court had observed as follows:

"The matter is listed on office note dated 15.09.2025 which brings to the notice of this court that the appellant has failed to deposit the entire decretal amount alongwith interest upto date as was directed vide order dated 13.08.2025 as a condition for stay of the impugned judgment and decree dated 27.03.2025.



No one is present on behalf of the appellant, when the matter is called-out.

In view of the directions contained in order dated 13.08.2025, it is clarified that there is no stay on the effect and operation of impugned judgment and decree dated 27.03.2025, as of today.”

6. Counsel submits, that by order dated 13.08.2025 the appellant had been granted 04 weeks to deposit the decretal amount alongwith interest up to date, which time ran out on 09.09.2025.
7. Counsel submits, that they had prepared the fixed deposit receipt on 18.09.2025, which was deposited in court on the very same date, and that accordingly the deposit of the fixed deposit was delayed only by 09 days. It is accordingly prayed that order dated 16.09.2025 be recalled and the interim stay granted by that order be restored.
8. A copy of the fixed deposit receipt has been enclosed to the present application.
9. However, it is noticed that the fixed deposit receipt has been drawn-up in the name of the appellant and *not* in the name of the Registrar General. Furthermore, the fixed deposit receipt that is sought to be filed carries a maturity date of 24.06.2026 *i.e.*, a date that has already passed.
10. In view of the above, the fixed deposit receipt cannot be taken as being in compliance of order dated 13.08.2025.
11. The present application is accordingly dismissed. Order dated 16.09.2025 stands as-is.

ANUP JAIRAM BHAMBHANI, J

JULY 8, 2026/hb