



\$~21

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ RFA 394/2021 & CM APPL. 42157/202 -Stay.
RAAJEEV SHHARMA

..... Appellant

Through: Petitioner in person
Ms. Bhuvneshwari Pathak, Adv.

versus

RITA SHARMA@ USHA KAUSHAL SHARMA & ANR.

..... Respondents

Through:

CORAM:
HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE REKHA PALLI

ORDER
19.07.2022

%

1. Ms. Bhuvneshwari Pathak, Advocate, who had filed the present appeal, submits that keeping in view the correspondence received from the appellant, she does not wish to appear any further on his behalf. The appellant, who appears in person, does not deny that he has been in correspondence with Ms. Pathak. In these circumstances, while discharging Ms. Pathak from the matter, the appellant is granted leave to appear in person.
2. Though the respondents have been served through ordinary process, none appears on their behalf.
3. In the interest of justice, adverse orders are deferred and the respondents are granted four weeks' time to file a reply to the application for stay. Rejoinder thereto, if any, be filed within four weeks, thereafter.



4. List on 23.01.2023.
5. Interim orders to continue till the next date.

JULY 19, 2022
acm

REKHA PALLI, J