



\$~12

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **CS(COMM) 604/2025**
MALTI GUPTA

.....Plaintiff

Through: Mr. Ritwik (VC), Advocate

versus

SUNIL KUMAR SETH & ANR.

.....Defendant

Through: Ms. Ishita Suri, Advocate

CORAM:

JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL) Dr. AJAY GULATI

ORDER

% **08.08.2025**

CS(COMM) 604/2025 & I.A. No. 14612/2025 (u/o XXXIX Rules 1 and 2 of CPC, 1908).

1. Appearance has been put in on behalf of the defendants by a fresh counsel. Consequently, the previous counsel stands discharged.
2. It needs a highlight that there has been no formal service of summons on the defendants. Consequently, they are deemed to have been served today for the purpose of working out limitation for filing of written statement. In so far as reply to IA No. 14612/2025 is concerned, since the defendants have already filed an application under order 39 Rule 4 CPC, the effective service date would be date of compliance of order 39 Rule 3 CPC. No reply however, has been filed to the said IA as well.
3. Put up for completion of pleadings on 27.09.2025.

Dr. AJAY GULATI
(DHJS),
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)

AUGUST 8, 2025/sk