



\$~45

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **W.P.(C) 10869/2023**
SHAKUNTALA SHUKLA

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Varun Mudgil, Mr. Rakesh Kumar, Ms. Kushwaha, Ms. Garima Anand and Mr. Vibhbore Ojha, Advocates

versus

GNCT OF DELHI & ANR.

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Yeeshu Jain, ASC, Ms. Jyoti Tyagi Advocates for respondent no. 1
Mr. Raj Kumar Yadav, Ms. Preeti Gothwal Advocates for respondent no. 2/UOI

46

+ **W.P.(C) 12097/2023**
SOMA

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Varun Mudgil, Mr. Rakesh Kumar, Ms. Kushwaha, Ms. Garima Anand and Mr. Vibhbore Ojha, Advocates

versus

GNCT OF DELHI & ANR.

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Yeeshu Jain, ASC, Ms. Jyoti Tyagi Advocates for respondent no. 1, Ms. Arunima Dwivedi, CGSC, Ms. Himanshi Singh and Ms. Monalisha Pradhan, Advocates for respondent no. 2

CORAM:



HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ KUMAR OHRI

ORDER

20.02.2026

%

1. Learned counsel appearing for GNTCD submits that arguing counsel is indisposed.
2. Learned CGSC also seeks time to take instructions, as the petitioner claims that the case is clearly covered by decision of this Court in “Janardan Sharma vs GNCT of Delhi Through It’s Chief Secretary & Ors.”, **2021 SCC OnLine Del 3895** and also the judgment of Supreme Court dated 25.04.2022 in “Maniben Maganbhai Bharia v. District Development Officer Dahod & Ors”, **2022 SCC Online SC 507**.
3. Renotify on 09.07.2026.

MANOJ KUMAR OHRI, J

FEBRUARY 20, 2026

sn