



\$~13

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ CRL.M.C. 4071/2025
STATE GOVERNMENT OF NCT DELHIPetitioner

Through: Mr. Utkarsh, APP for the State.

versus

SURESH YADAVRespondent

Through: Counsel for Respondent (appearance
not given)

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA

ORDER

% **23.07.2025**

1. Learned counsel for the Respondent submits that he has not been supplied with a copy of the Application *vide* which the cancellation of Bail, is sought.
2. Learned Prosecutor undertakes to make the copy available.
3. Reply, if any, be filed within four weeks with copy to the opposite counsel.
4. Be listed for arguments on 25.09.2025.
5. Learned counsel on behalf of the Complainant submits that the Investigating Officer has refused to make the Respondent join the investigations on the ground that the Application for cancellation of Bail is pending. That can be no ground for the Investigating Officer to not continue with the investigation, which he may do. The Investigating Officer may issue a Notice to the Respondent, to join the investigations, in accordance with law.

NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA, J

JULY 23, 2025/RS