



\$~10

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **CRL.A. 806/2025**

CCL IDENTITY HIDDENAppellant
Through: **Ms.Inderjeet Sidhu, Adv.**

versus

THE STATE GOVT. OF NCT OF DELHIRespondent
Through: **Mr.Ritesh Kr. Bahri, APP for State**
with Ms. Divya Yadav and Mr.Lalit
Luthra, Advs. with Inspector Rajendra
Singh SHO Narela.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIVEK CHAUDHARY
HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE SHALINDER KAUR

% **ORDER**
20.08.2025

CRL.M.(BAIL) 1242/2025

1. Learned counsel for the appellant submits that at the time of conviction, the learned Trial Court has passed certain directions to Jail Superintendent to provide employment to the CCL during his sentence in Jail, keeping in view the age of the appellant and his previous work experience. He was also directed to provide education to the CCL during his sentence. Furthermore, the District Child Protection Unit was directed to assess the reformation of CCL yearly and to file its follow up report before the Trial Court every year. However, she submits that the District Child Protection Unit has not placed any such report on record.
2. Let the report from the District Child Protection Unit be placed on



record, before the next date of hearing.

3. In view of the mandate of the Supreme Court in ***Jagjeet Singh & Ors. vs. Ashish Mishra @ Monu & Anr.*** (2022) 9 SCC 321, intimation be issued through the IO/SHO to the kith and kin of the deceased, who may either appear in person or through video conferencing or be represented through an Advocate, on the next date of hearing.

4. List on 09.10.2025.

VIVEK CHAUDHARY, J

SHALINDER KAUR, J

AUGUST 20, 2025/rs/nc