



\$~13

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CS(OS) 452/2019**

TANI SANDHU BHARGAVA

.....Plaintiff

Through: Mr. Laksh Khanna and Ms. Diksha
Suri, Advocates
Ms. Mohini Narain, Advocates

versus

SHUMITA DIDI SANDHU

.....Defendant

Through: Mr. Alok Gupta and Ms. Akanksha
Gupta, Advocates

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUBRAMONIUM PRASAD

ORDER

% **19.02.2026**

I.A. 29098/2025

1. Though the present application has been filed on behalf of the Defendant for clubbing of Suits i.e., CS(OS) 585/2024 and CS(OS) No. 931/2024 with the present Suit i.e., CS(OS) 452/2019, however, the prayer for consolidation of Suits depends upon the nature of averments made in the pleadings.

2. Learned Counsel for Defendant is directed to file a copy of the Plaint and written statement of the two Suits i.e., CS(OS) 585/2024 and CS(OS) No. 931/2024, of which the Defendant is seeking consolidation with the present Suit, before the next date of hearing.

3. List on 18.05.2026.

I.A. 29095/2025

1. This application under Section 151 of CPC has been filed on behalf of



the Defendant for repayment of amount of damages of Rs.37,50,000/- to the Plaintiff pursuant to the Order dated 18.03.2025 passed by the Division Bench of this Court in RFA(OS) 49/2024.

2. The instant Suit is one for possession and mandatory injunction in respect of the property being Ground Floor of Block No.172, Plot No. 202, Jor Bagh, New Delhi - 110003.

3. Vide Order dated 18.03.2025, a Division Bench of this Court in RFA(OS) 49/2024 had directed the Plaintiff to release a sum of Rs.12,50,000/- in favour of the Defendant herein and also pay a sum of Rs.2,50,000/- per month to the Defendant.

4. It stated that RFA(OS) 49/2024 has now been disposed of by the Division Bench of this Court vide Order dated 17.10.2025. Meaning thereby, the Plaintiff now has to pay a sum of Rs. 37,50,000/- to the Defendant.

5. Learned Counsel appearing for the Plaintiff, on behalf of his client, undertakes to return the entire amount on or before 17.04.2026. The amount is permitted to be paid to the Defendant in installments.

6. It is made clear that this Order has been passed on the basis of an undertaking given by the learned Counsel appearing for the Plaintiff on behalf of his client.

7. Needless to state that violation of undertaking shall attract the provisions of Article 215 of the Constitution of India and Section 2(b) of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971.

8. With these observations, the application is disposed of.

CS(OS) 452/2019

1. List on 18.05.2026.



2. The next date of hearing i.e., 24.03.2026, stands cancelled.

SUBRAMONIUM PRASAD, J

FEBRUARY 19, 2026

S. Zakir