



\$~41

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CM(M) 1483/2023**

ALPONSHO JOHN

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Tanmay Gupta, Advocate
(Through VC).
Mr. Suryans Agrawal and Ms. Monika
Tiwari, Proxy Counsel.

versus

MADAN LAL VERMA

.....Respondent

Through: None.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJNEESH KUMAR GUPTA

ORDER

25.05.2026

%

1. This hearing has been conducted through hybrid mode.
2. There is a strike of the Bar Association today.

CM APPL. 36068/2026 (for restoration of petition)

3. This is an application filed by the petitioner under Section 151 of the Code of Civil Procedure, 1908 seeking restoration of the present petition, which was dismissed in default *vide* order dated 27th April, 2026.
4. Heard. Record perused.
5. Learned counsel for the petitioner has argued that the non-appearance on behalf of the petitioner on 27th April, 2026 was neither intentional nor deliberate, as the learned counsel was held up in proceedings before another Court and therefore, could not appear when the matter was called out.
6. Keeping in view the submissions made by learned counsel for the



petitioner, the application is allowed. The order dated 27th April, 2026 is set aside and the present petition is restored to its original number.

7. The application is disposed of.

CM(M) 1483/2023

8. Upon the petitioner taking necessary steps, let fresh notice be issued to the respondent through all permissible modes.

9. List on 14th October, 2026.

RAJNEESH KUMAR GUPTA, J

MAY 25, 2026/nd/ik