



\$~10

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CS(OS) 602/2021**

MEERA

..... Plaintiff

Through: Counsel (appearance not given)

versus

SATISH @ SITARAM & ORS. Defendants

Through: Mr. Keshav V. Hegde,
Advocate for Defendant
no.1 alongwith defendant
no.1 appeared physically
Mr. Atul Kuamr and Mr.
Amitabh Ranjan,
Advocates for Defendant
nos. 2 and 5 appeared
physically
Mr. Mudit Talesara,
Advocate for Defendant
nos. 3 and 6 appeared
physically
Mr. N. Chaudhary,
Advocate for Defendant
o.4 appeared physically
(M: 9818924220)

CORAM:
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL) MS. TYAGITA SINGH, (DHJS)

% **ORDER**
07.12.2022

Matter is taken up through physical hearing as well as video conferencing.

CS(OS) 602/2021

Page 1of 4



IA No. 12307/2022 (application/O XI Rule 18 r/w Section 151 CPC for direction to defendant nos. 1 & 4 to allow the inspection of original documents)

This application has been filed by the plaintiff for directions to defendant no.1 to produce the original WILL dated 18.03.2010 and directing defendant no.4 for producing the original WILL dated 15.10.2018 and 'Virat Vaibhav Newspaper' dated 21.09.2016, for inspection by the plaintiff and her counsel.

In response to this application today, defendant nos. 1 and 4 have appeared physically alongwith their counsels and they have brought the abovesaid original WILLS and newspaper alongwith public notice in court today.

Learned counsel for the plaintiff has inspected both the original WILLS, newspapers dated 21.09.2016 as well as public notice dated 20.09.2016 to editor of the newspaper 'Virat Vaibhav', which was prepared by learned counsel for defendant no.4 for publication in the newspaper.

The original documents are being returned to the respective defendant nos. 1 and 4. Both original WILLS, newspaper and public notice may be filed with the Registry within two weeks. The concerned official of the Registry is directed to keep the original two WILLS in safe custody in sealed cover whenever they are filed.

Since inspection has been done today of the abovesaid documents in court by learned counsel for the plaintiff as well as plaintiff, the relief claimed in the application stands satisfied.

IA stands disposed off.



IA No. 12073/2021 (application filed by the plaintiff u/O XXXIX Rule 10 CPC for directing defendant nos. 1 to 4 to deposit the rent)

Reply of defendant no.4 has come on record.

Learned counsel for defendant no.1 seeks some more time for filing reply. At request, reply be filed within two days with advance copy to the opposite parties.

Rejoinder, if any, be filed within two weeks thereafter with advance copy to the opposite parties.

IA No. 15196/2021 (application filed by the plaintiff u/O XXXIX Rules 1 and 2 r/w Section 151 CPC for ad-interim ex-parte injunction)

Reply of defendant nos. 3,4 and 6 have come on record.

Learned counsel for defendant no.1 has stated that he does not want to file reply and he will adopt the written statement as reply to the application.

CS(OS) 602/2021

All the parties have stated that mediation proceedings have failed.

As per file noting, written statement of defendant nos. 1,3,4 and 6 is on record. Replication of plaintiff to written statement of defendant nos. 1 and 4 is also on record.

Learned counsel for the plaintiff has stated that there is no need to file replication in respect to written statement of defendant nos. 3 and 6 as they are supporting the case of the plaintiff and they have not filed any documents with written statement. **Pleadings are complete qua defendant nos. 1,3,4 and 6.**



Defendant nos. 2 and 5 have not filed any pleadings till date.

Put up for further proceedings on 13th March, 2023.

TYAGITA SINGH, (DHJS)
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)

DECEMBER 7, 2022
savita

CS(OS) 602/2021

Page 4 of 4