



\$~2

*

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+

LA.APP. 242/2022 & CM Appls.41268-69/2022

UNION OF INDIA

.....Appellant

Through: Mr. Sanjay Kumar Pathak, SC with
Mr. Sunil Kumar Jha & Mr. M.S.
Akhtar, Advs.

versus

RAJENDER SINGH & ORS.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Roshan Lal Goel and Ms. Anju
Gupta, Advs. for R-5.
Mr. Garvit Byawat, Adv. for R-6.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE TARA VITASTA GANJU

ORDER

%

10.01.2025

1. Learned Counsel for the Appellant submits that Respondent No. 6/DSIIDC has also filed an Appeal against the Impugned Order dated 15.04.2019 passed by the learned ADJ, Rohini Courts, Delhi. He submits that the decretal amount has been deposited in that Appeal.
2. The report of the Registry is that the Court fee has not been deposited. The Appellant is directed to deposit the Court fee within a period of two weeks from today.
3. Learned Counsel for the Appellant submits that the issue as obtains in the present Appeal also obtains in LA. APP. 189/2015 captioned ***Union of India v. Satender Singh & Anr.*** which is pending in the category of Regulars.
4. None appears for Respondent Nos. 1 to 4. On steps being taken, let fresh Notice be issued to Respondent Nos. 1 to 4 *via* all modes. An affidavit of service be filed within two weeks.



5. List before the concerned Registrar for completion of service on 20.02.2025.

TARA VITASTA GANJU, J

JANUARY 10, 2025/r

[Click here to check corrigendum, if any](#)