



\$~8

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **LA.APP. 242/2022 & CM APPLs. 41268-69/2022**

UNION OF INDIA

..... Appellant

Through: Ms. Manisha Jain, Proxy Adv. for Mr.
Yeeshu Jain, Standing Counsel

versus

RAJENDER SINGH & ORS.

..... Respondents

Through: Ms. Ruchika Rathi, Adv. for DSIIDC
Mr. Roshan Lal Goel and Ms. Anju
Gupta, Advs. for DDA

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TUSHAR RAO GEDELA

ORDER

17.03.2023

%

[The proceeding has been conducted through Hybrid mode]

1. Ms. Ruchika Rathi, learned counsel appearing for the DSIIDC submits that the decretal amount in the present case has been deposited in appeal which has been filed by the DSIIDC bearing LA. APP.8/2020.
2. Despite the order dated 28.09.2022, the appellant had failed to deposit the decretal amount. A period of four weeks' was granted, subject whereof, the execution of the impugned order was stayed.
3. The court fees accruing on the said appeal has also not been affixed as yet.



4. As a last and final opportunity, the appellant is granted six weeks' time to affix the court fees and also to deposit the entire decretal amount before this Court.
5. Learned counsel appearing for the respondent submits that the issue in the present appeal is no more *res integra* inasmuch as the Hon'ble Supreme Court has decided the same in the case of ***Union of India Vs. Jai Singh*** in Civil Appeal 657/2012 and thus there is no merit in the appeal.
6. Learned proxy counsel appearing for the Union of India submits that Mr. Yeeshu Jain, standing counsel is indisposed and will not be able to assist the court on the aforesaid issue and seeks a short adjournment on that ground.
7. Reply, if any, be filed within four weeks. Rejoinder thereto, if any, be filed within four weeks thereafter.
8. List on 12.10.2023.
9. It is made clear that no further adjournment shall be granted on any ground whatsoever for deposit of decretal amount or the affixation of court fee.

TUSHAR RAO GEDELA, J

MARCH 17, 2023

ms