



\$~SB-15

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 7832/2025**

SHREE BALAJI ENTERPRISES

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Ajay Jain, Mr. Krishna Sharma,
Ms. Bijay Lakshmi, Mr. Kunaal
Sharma, Advocates.

versus

**DELHI TRANSPORT INFRASTRUCTURE DEVELOPMENT
CORPORATION LIMITED**

.....Respondent

Through: Counsel (Appearance not given).

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJAS KARIA

ORDER

%

09.06.2025

CM APPL. 36625/2025

1. This is an application filed under Section 151 of the Code of Civil Procedure, 1908 for modification of the order dated 29.05.2025.
2. It is submitted by the learned Counsel for the Petitioner that at the hearing on 29.05.2025, this Court issued notice and granted an interim order in favour of the Petitioner. This Court had directed that the Respondent to not evict the Petitioner from the shop till the next date of hearing on the ground of parity with the similarly situated petition titled as "*Vijay Nagpal v. Delhi Transport Infrastructure Development Corporation Ltd.*" being W.P.(C) 1265/2025 in which, by order dated 31.01.2025, this Court had directed that no coercive steps shall be taken against the petitioner in that petition, in respect of the premises in question, till the next date of hearing.



3. However, the Respondents were not interdicted from initiating the tender process for the grant of fresh license, in respect of the premises in question. It was also directed that the Petitioner shall abide with the outcome of the tender process.
4. A similar order was also passed in the matter titled as “*Geeta Jeena v. Delhi Transport Infrastructure Development Corporation Ltd.*” in W.P.(C) 1392/2025 on 06.02.2025.
5. As this is an application for modification of the order, the same can only be considered by the Bench, that passed the order. Since this application is moved before the Vacation Bench, list this application before the same Bench, which passed the order dated 29.05.2025.
6. In the meanwhile, as a statement at Bar is made by the learned Counsel for the Petitioner and the same is also stated on Affidavit by the Petitioner, that an inadvertent and typographical error has crept into the order dated 29.05.2025, no coercive action shall be taken against the Petitioner till 04.07.2025, the date on which this application shall also be listed alongwith the main matter.
7. The learned Counsel appearing for the Respondent has submitted that no timeline has been fixed for the purpose of initiating the tender process for the grant of fresh license, in respect of the premises in question, i.e., Shop No. 12, Food Court at Maharana Pratap ISBT, Kashmere Gate, Delhi and the Petitioner does not have a right to continue in terms of the license agreement.
8. It is also made clear that this limited interim protection shall not come in the way of the Respondent initiating the tender process for the grant of the fresh license, in respect of the premises in question.



9. List on the date already fixed, i.e., 04.07.2025.

**TEJAS KARIA, J
(VACATION JUDGE)**

JUNE 9, 2025/sms

Click here to check corrigendum, if any