



\$~16

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **CS(COMM) 578/2020**
ANIL SHARMA Plaintiff

Through: Mr. Sidharth Tyagi, Adv. (joined
through VC)

versus

ATUL GUPTA & ORS. Defendants

Through: Ms. Poonam Taneja, Adv. for Mr. S.
C. Singhal, Adv. for D-7

CORAM:

JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL) MS. PRIYA MAHENDRA,
(DHJS)

ORDER

%

28.10.2022

CS (COMM) 578/2020

The matter is listed for completion of service qua defendant no.5&20 as well as for completion of pleadings qua remaining defendants.

As per Order dated 08.03.2021, all the defendants have been served except defendant no.5,6,7,9,15,20,22&23. Later, defendant no.6,7&9 were served as reflected in Order dated 18.11.2021. Defendant No.11,22&23 have also been served thereafter and were represented through counsel as reflected in Order dated 18.11.2021. It is pointed out by learned counsel for the plaintiff that defendant no.15 has also been served and had appeared through counsel on 24.12.2020, 07.01.2021, 04.03.2021 & 18.11.2021.

Pleading qua defendant no.7 only are complete. The right of other served defendants to file Written Statement is already ordered to be closed. It is already noted that Written Statement alongwith Affidavit of admission/denial of documents filed by defendant no.25



2023.04.19.24

shall be made part of the record as per law.

As per office note, Citations in newspapers “*Times of India*” and “*Dainik Bhaskar*” both dated 21.09.2022 filed by plaintiff through e-filing, are on record. However, hard copy of same has not been filed. Again adjournment is sought by learned counsel for the plaintiff for filing the same. In the interest of justice, no adverse order is being passed today and two weeks more time is granted to the plaintiff to file hard copy of Citations.

Re-notify the matter for service of defendant no.5&20/further proceedings on 16.12.2022.

PRIYA MAHENDRA (DHJS)
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)

OCTOBER 28, 2022/ab

[Click here to check corrigendum, if any](#)