



2023.06.14.02:41:42

\$~2

*

+

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

CS(COMM) 578/2020

ANIL SHARMA

..... Plaintiff

Through Mr. Sidharth Tyagi, Adv. (M. No. 9911452727)

versus

ATUL GUPTA & ORS.

..... Defendant

Through Ms. Poonam Taneja, Adv. for Mr. S. C. Singhal, Adv. for D-7
Mr. Piyush Chandel, Adv. for D-25

CORAM:

SHARAD GUPTA (DHJS), JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)

ORDER

% 21.03.2022

CS(COMM) 578/2020

The matter is listed for completion of service qua defendant no.5&20 as well as for completion of pleadings qua remaining defendants.

Written statement of defendant no.7 only is on record and written statements qua remaining served defendants have not been filed. Let written statement, if any, alongwith admission/denial affidavit be filed by remaining served defendants within the stipulated statutory period from the date of their respective service as permitted by law with copy to opposite side, who is at liberty to file replication, admission/denial affidavit and joint schedule of documents within four weeks thereafter with copy to opposite side.

It is stated by learned counsel for plaintiff that replication to the written statement of defendant no.7 is not required to be filed. Thus, pleadings qua defendant no.7 are complete.

Contd.....2



2023-06-14 02:41:42

-2-

There is no office noting qua service of defendant no.5&20 by way of publication. Learned counsel for plaintiff points out that he has received publication notice late and for this reason, publication could not be effected.

In the interest of justice and without taking into any hyper technical view, defendant no.5&20 be served afresh through publication, in terms of previous order, on taking of steps by the plaintiff and depositing of requisite publication charges.

Re-notify the matter for completion of service qua defendant no.5&20 and for pleadings qua remaining served defendants on 30.05.2022.

SHARAD GUPTA (DHJS)
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)

MARCH 21, 2022/ab

[Click here to check corrigendum, if any](#)