



\$~3

*

+

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

CS(COMM) 578/2020

ANIL SHARMA

..... Plaintiff

Through: Mr. Siddharth Tyagi & Ms.
Prachi Maheshwari, Advs.

versus

ATUL GUPTA & ORS.

..... Defendants

Through: None.

CORAM:

**JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL) MS. PRIYA
MAHENDRA, (DHJS)**

ORDER

%

08.05.2023

CS(COMM) 578/2020

As per Order dated 08.03.2021, all the defendants have been served except defendant no.5,6,7,9,15, 20,22&23. Later, defendant no.6,7&9 were served as reflected in Order dated 18.11.2021. Defendant No.11,22&23 have also been served thereafter and were represented through counsel as reflected in Order dated 18.11.2021. It is also pointed out by learned counsel for the plaintiff that defendant no.15 has also been served and had appeared through counsel on 24.12.2020, 07.01.2021, 04.03.2021 & 18.11.2021.

Pleading qua defendant no.7 only are complete. The right of other served defendants to file Written Statement is already ordered to be closed.

Hard copy of Citations in newspapers “*The Times of India*” dated 20.09.2022 and “*Dainik Bhaskar*” dated 21.09.2022, filed by the plaintiff qua service of defendant no.5&20, perused. The defendant no.5&20 have been duly served by the publication.

No Written Statement has been filed by the defendant no.5&20 till date. Even otherwise none is



present on behalf of the defendant no.5&20 today to explain in this regard. So, the right of the defendant no.5&20 to file Written Statement is ordered to be forfeited.

The learned counsel for the plaintiff seeks sometime to inspect the file and give clarifications regarding status of pleadings of defendant no.25, on the next date of hearing.

Re-notify the matter for further proceedings on 26.05.2023.

**PRIYA MAHENDRA (DHJS)
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)**

MAY 8, 2023/ab

[Click here to check corrigendum, if any](#)