



\$~2

*

+

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

CS(COMM) 578/2020

ANIL SHARMA

..... Plaintiff

Through: Mr. Siddharth Tyagi & Mr.
Prashant Kumar, Advs.

versus

ATUL GUPTA & ORS.

..... Defendants

Through:

CORAM:

**JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL) MS. PRIYA
MAHENDRA, (DHJS)**

ORDER

%

02.02.2023

CS(COMM) 578/2020

As per Order dated 08.03.2021, all the defendants have been served except defendant no.5,6,7,9,15, 20,22&23. Later, defendant no.6,7&9 were served as reflected in Order dated 18.11.2021. Defendant No.11,22&23 have also been served thereafter and were represented through counsel as reflected in Order dated 18.11.2021. It is also pointed out by learned counsel for the plaintiff that defendant no.15 has also been served and had appeared through counsel on 24.12.2020, 07.01.2021, 04.03.2021 & 18.11.2021.

Pleading qua defendant no.7 only are complete. The right of other served defendants to file Written Statement is already ordered to be closed.

It is already noted that Written Statement alongwith Affidavit of admission/denial of documents filed by defendant no.25 shall be made part of the record as per law.

The matter is listed for completion of service qua defendant no.5&20.

It is stated by learned counsel for the plaintiff that he



has filed scanned copy of Citations in newspapers “*The Times of India*” and “*Dainik Bhaskar*” with respect to service of defendant no.5&20 through publication in the newspapers. The said citations are on record but the same are not legible. So, learned counsel for the plaintiff is directed to file hard copy of Citations in newspapers “*The Times of India*” and “*Dainik Bhaskar*” both dated 21.09.2022 within two weeks.

Re-notify the matter for service of defendant no.5&20/further proceedings on 13.03.2023.

PRIYA MAHENDRA (DHJS)
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)
FEBRUARY 2, 2023/ab
[Click here to check corrigendum, if any](#)