



\$~61

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

I.A. 23123/2025

IN

+ CS(OS) 583/2022

DAKSH RANA (MINOR)

THROUGH HIS MOTHER

.....Plaintiff

Through: Mr. Sanjay Rathi, Advocate

versus

BHUPINDER RANA & ORS.

.....Defendants

Through: Mr. Sameer Vashisht and Mr. Swapan
Singhal, Advocates for D-2 and D-3.
Mr. Anirudh Dusaj and Mr. Shubh
Kapoor, Advocate for D-4.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT BANSAL

ORDER

%

17.09.2025

I.A. 23123/2025 (under Order XXXII Rule 12, CPC)

1. The present application has been filed under Order XXXII Rule 12 of the Code of Civil Procedure, 1908, stating that during the pendency of the present suit, the plaintiff has attained the age of majority on 23rd January, 2025.
2. The plaintiff has elected that he shall proceed with the present suit against the defendants.
3. Counsel appearing for the defendant no.4 does not object to the present application. However, it is pointed out that the Vakalatnama of the applicant/plaintiff has not been placed on record. He further points out that

CS(OS) 583/2022

Page 1 of 2



the address of the defendant no.4 in the amended memo of parties has been wrongly mentioned.

4. Accordingly, the present application is allowed subject to the Vakalatnama executed by the applicant/plaintiff in favour of counsel being filed within three (3) days from today.

5. The applicant/plaintiff shall be permitted to continue with the present suit in his individual capacity.

6. A fresh amended memo of parties be placed on record within one (1) week.

AMIT BANSAL, J

SEPTEMBER 17, 2025
ds