



\$~47

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 13515/2022**

RAM PRAKASH SATYARTHI

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr.Kripa Shankar Prasad with
Mr.Ainul Ansari, Ms.Ritu Rajkumari, Advs.

versus

PUNJAB NATIONAL BANK

..... Respondent

Through: Mr.Rajesh Kr.Gautam with Mr.Anant
Gautam, Mr.Nipun Sharma, Mr.Sachin Singh,
Mr.Vidur Ahluwalia, Mr.Anant Vikram Singh,
Advs.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE REKHA PALLI

ORDER

%

19.09.2022

CM APPL. 41109/2022 & CM APPL. 41111/2022

1. Exemptions allowed, subject to all just exceptions.
2. The applications stand disposed of.

W.P.(C) 13515/2022

3. The petitioner has approached this Court assailing the penalty order dated 19.04.2021, vide which his pay scale has been reduced by two stages for a period of one year with a further condition that he will not be entitled to earn any increment during the said period of one year. The petitioner also assails the consequential order dated 03.02.2022, vide which his statutory departmental appeal has been rejected.
4. Issue notice. Learned counsel for the respondent accepts notice. He prays for and is granted six weeks time to file counter affidavit.



Rejoinder thereto, if any, be filed within four weeks thereafter.

5. List on 28.03.2023.

CM APPL.41110/2022

6. This is an application filed by the petitioner seeking interim stay of the impugned penalty order dated 19.04.2021.
7. Having considered the submissions of the learned counsel for the petitioner, I am of the view that any stay of the impugned penalty order, which has already been upheld in the departmental appellate proceedings, will amount to allowing the writ petition at this interim stage itself and can, therefore, not be granted.
8. The application for stay is accordingly dismissed.

SEPTEMBER 19, 2022

sr

REKHA PALLI, J