



\$~65

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 7964/2025 & CM APPL. 34985/2025**

TRIPT SINGH

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Saket Sikri, Mr. Nikhil Kohli,
Ms. Akshaya Ganpath and Mr. Ajay
Pal Singh Kuller, Advs.

versus

STATE BANK OF INDIA & ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Rajiv Kapur, SC for SBI with Mr.
Akshit Kapur (AoR) and Ms.
Kashish, Adv. for R-1.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS MAHAJAN

ORDER

% **30.05.2025**

CM APPL. 34986/2025 (exemption)

1. Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.
2. Application stands disposed of.

W.P.(C) 7964/2025

3. The present petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking following relief:

a) Issue a writ, order or direction in the nature of Certiorari or any other appropriate writ, order or direction quashing and setting aside the Show Cause Notice dated 5 May 2025 issued by the Respondent No. 1, the State Bank of India;

b) Issue a writ, order or direction in the nature of Certiorari, or any other appropriate writ, order or direction, quashing and setting aside any further steps or actions taken pursuant to the



Show Cause Notice dated 5 May 2025 issued by the Respondent No. 1, the State Bank of India;

4. Mr. Saket Sikri, learned counsel for petitioner submits that the impugned show cause notice has been issued to the petitioner, however, the documents relied upon in the show cause notice have not supplied to petitioner.
5. In view of the above, issue notice. Mr. Rajiv Kapur, learned Standing Counsel for the respondent no.1/SBI accepts notice.
6. He submits that present petition is premature, in as much as the final order has not yet been passed. He further contends that all the documents which have been referred to in the impugned show cause notice have already been supplied.
7. Let counter-affidavit be filed within a period of four weeks. Rejoinder thereto, if any, be filed within a period of two weeks thereafter.
8. List on 09.09.2025.

VIKAS MAHAJAN, J

MAY 30, 2025/dss