



\$~12

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

CNR No. DLHC010353782023

+ **W.P.(C) 11844/2023, CM APPL. 46270/2023 & CM APPL. 21202/2025**

PREM KUTIR CGHS

.....Petitioner

Through: None.

versus

REGISTRAR OF COOPERATIVE SOCIETIESRespondent

Through: Mr. Abhinav Sharma, Mr. Sameer Vashishtha, & Mr. Shashi Prtap Singh, Adv. for RCS.

CORAM:

JUSTICE PRATHIBA M. SINGH

JUSTICE VIKAS MAHAJAN

ORDER

% **21.08.2026**

1. This hearing has been done through hybrid mode.
2. None appears on behalf of the Petitioner.
3. The present petition has been filed by the Petitioner-Prem Kutir Cooperative Group Housing Society Ltd. (hereinafter, 'Society'). The prayers made in this writ petition read as under:

“(A) *Direct the to the respondent to further direct the ex-administrator SH. B.S Thakur to handover the record of the Petitioner Society specifically pertaining to the construction of the flat in compliance of the order passed by the Hon’ble High Court of Delhi in Writ Petition (C) No. 3971/2011, titled as “Suman Pujari Vs. Registrar Cooperative Societies”.*



(B) *Direct the Respondent to take cognizance of the petition u/s. 118 (3) of the Delhi Cooperative Societies Act, 2003 filed on 28.02.2018 by the Petitioner Society and dispose the same expeditiously.”*

4. It is brought to the notice of this Court by Mr. Abhinav Sharma, Id. Counsel for the Respondent that *vide* order dated 2nd January, 2025, the application filed by the Society under Section 118(3) of the Delhi Cooperative Societies Act, 2003 has already been disposed of. As per the said order, an Inspecting Officer was appointed in the following terms:

“In view of above mentioned facts and circumstances, I am of the considered opinion that, in the light of the serious discrepancies and conflicting statements made by both the petitioner and the respondent, it is imperative to conduct a thorough inspection of the books, records, and accounts of the Prem Kutir Cooperative Group Housing Society Ltd. The petitioner’s claims regarding the non-handling coupled with the respondent’s denial of responsibility, warrant an independent review to verify the correctness and authenticity of the society’s records. Given the importance of transparency and adherence to legal obligations, I believe that appointing an Inspection Officer under Section 61 of the Delhi Cooperative Societies Act, 2003, is necessary. The findings from the inspection will provide clarity on the matter and help facilitate a fair resolution of the ongoing dispute. Further, Sh. A.K. Kaushal (Retd. DANICS) is hereby appointed as Inspecting Officer U/s 61 of DCS Act to conduct the said inspection, an honorarium of Rs.15,000/- (Rupees Fifteen Thousand) Only shall be paid to Inspecting Officer for this purpose out of the funds of the society.”



5. Moreover, the affidavit of the Registrar of Co-operative Societies [*hereinafter* 'RCS'] states that after the Inspecting Officer had been appointed, the Society failed to appear before the Inspecting Officer.
6. In view of the order passed above and the further developments thereafter, nothing further survives in this writ petition.
7. The petition is disposed of. The pending applications are also disposed of.
8. If the Society has any remedy in respect of the order passed by the RCS, it is free to avail of its remedies in accordance with law.

PRATHIBA M. SINGH, J.

VIKAS MAHAJAN, J.

AUGUST 21, 2026

aj/Ck