



\$~23

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C) 10873/2020

SAURAV DAS

.....Petitioner

Through: Ms. Vrinda Bhandari and Mr. Naman
Basoya, Advocates.

versus

CENTRAL PUBLIC INFORMATION OFFICER (CPIO),
NATIONAL E-GOVERNANCE DIVISION (NEGD) & ORS.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Rahul Sharma, CGSC alongwith
Ms. Jyoti Dutt Sharma, Mr. Manikant
and Ms. Shikha Singh, Advocates.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SACHIN DATTA

ORDER

11.08.2025

%

1. Respective counsel for the parties have been heard for some time.
2. During the course of hearing, learned counsel for the petitioner fairly submits that the petitioner is satisfied with the information set out in the compliance affidavit filed on behalf of respondent no.1, in terms of the directions contained in order dated 15.09.2023.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner confines only to seeking the following:
 - (i) The names of the 'industry leaders' referred to at page 3 of the aforesaid affidavit filed on behalf of the respondent no.1 to 5 (foot note).
 - (ii) Whether or not any request was received by the respondents from any 'industry leader' for the purpose of developing the Aarogya Setu App.



4. Learned counsel for the respondents seek some time to take instructions.
5. List on 18.08.2025 in the category of “*Admission Matters*”.

SACHIN DATTA, J

AUGUST 11, 2025/at