



\$~19

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **CS(COMM) 565/2025**
NOVO NORDISK AS

.....Plaintiff

Through: Mr. Rishabh Paliwal (VC),
Mr. Sanchit Sharma, Advocates

versus

DR. REDDYS LABORATORIES LIMITED & ANR.

.....Defendant

Through: Mr. Deepankar Mishra, Advocate

CORAM:

JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL) Dr. AJAY GULATI

ORDER

% **05.02.2026**

IA No. 3221/2026 (u/Section 151 CPC r/w Rule 5, Chapter VII of the Delhi High Court (Original Side) Rules, 2018 moved on behalf of the plaintiff seeking condonation of delay in filing replication).

1. Issue notice. Notice accepted by Id. Counsel for the defendants who submits that if the delay is to be condoned, same should be subject to a reasonable cost.
2. For the reasons stated in the application, application is allowed subject to cost of Rs. 2500/-, to be paid to the defendants through its counsel within three weeks failing which further cost shall be imposed. Delay stands condoned. Replication to the written statement is taken on record. **IA stands disposed off.** Plaintiff is directed to **re-file** the replication within 5 days whereafter the Registry is directed to place the same on record.

Dr. AJAY GULATI
(DHJS),

JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)

FEBRUARY 5, 2026/sk