



\$~4

***IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CS(OS) 446/2020, I.A. 20906/2023, I.A. 20964/2023, I.A.
20966/2023, I.A. 20965/2023, I.A. 21778/2023
VIPIN KUMAR MANAKTALAPlaintiff

Through: Mr. Ritesh Kumar Choudhary, Adv.

versus

SHRI VINOD KUMARSHRI VINOD KUMAR & ORS.
.....Defendants

Through: Mr. B. K. Sood, Mr. Harish Gaur &
Manik Sood, Advs. for D-1 to D-3
and D-7 (Mob. No.9774700001)
harish.gaur@hotmail.com
Mr. Ashok Kumar Chhabra, Adv.
M. 9810008481.
Mr. Rajender Agarwal, Adv. for D-4
M. 9810651681.

CORAM:
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL) MS. TYAGITA SINGH, (DHJS)

ORDER
% 05.12.2024

Matter is taken up through physical hearing as well as video conferencing.

I.A. 20906/2023 (Application u/O XI Rule 1 and 2 CPC filed by plaintiff)
I.A. 20964/2023 (Application u/O XI Rule 12 CPC filed by plaintiff)

Reply has been filed in I.A. 20964/2023 by defendants no. 1,2,4, 5(a) to (c) and defendant no.7. Rejoinder has not been filed by plaintiff to said replies. **Right of plaintiff to file Rejoinder stands closed. Pleadings are complete qua said defendants.**

CS(OS) 446/2020

Page 1 of 2



As per previous order, defendant no. 6 is still unserved. Service report of defendant no.6 is awaited. At request, fresh notice be issued to defendant no. 6 qua abovesaid applications on filing PF within 7 days by all permissible modes including electronic mode and Dasti if any. Process Server is directed to affix the notice at the outer door of the premises if the premises are found locked or defendant no.6 does not meet at the given address or he refuses to receive the notice.

Notice be sent to defendant no.6 through concerned District Court of Gurugram. Affidavit of service of Dasti notice be filed by plaintiff atleast one week before next date of hearing. Reply if any may be filed by defendant no.6 within four weeks from date of service.

I.A. 20966/2023 (Application u/O XI Rule 1 CPC filed by plaintiff)

Ld. Counsel for LR of defendant no.5 has stated that he has already filed Reply to the abovesaid I.A but inadvertently in the Reply, I.A number was wrongly mentioned as I.A. 20964/2023 due to which reply to the present I.A. is not reflecting on the record. At request, the said reply may be read as Reply to the abovesaid I.A.

I.A. 20965/2023 (Application u/O XI Rule 1 and 2 CPC filed by plaintiff)

I.A. 21778/2023 (Application u/O XI Rule 1 and 2 CPC filed by plaintiff)

Pleadings are already complete in the abovesaid IAs.

At request, be fixed for report of service and further proceedings
07.02.2025.

**TYAGITA SINGH, (DHJS),
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)**

DECEMBER 5, 2024/sm