



\$~6

* IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+ MAT.APP.(F.C.) 192/2024 & CM APPL. 34349-34351/2024

PRACHI SHARMA

.....Appellant

Through: Mr Hemant Gulati, Mr Abhay Man
Tripathi and Ms Anjali, Adv.

versus

SIDDHARTH SHARMA

.....Respondent

Through:

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV SHAKDHER

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT BANSAL

ORDER

%

08.07.2024

[Physical Hearing/Hybrid Hearing (as per request)]

CM APPL. 34350/2024

1. Allowed, subject to just exceptions.

CM APPL. 34351/2024 [Application filed on behalf of the appellant seeking condonation of delay of 45 days in filing the appeal]

2. This application has been filed on behalf of the appellant seeking condonation of delay of 45 days in filing the appeal.

3. Having regard to the period involved, we are inclined to condone the delay.

3.1. It is ordered accordingly.

4. The application is closed.

MAT.APP.(F.C.) 192/2024 & CM APPL. 34349/2024 [Application filed on behalf of the appellant seeking stay of the judgment and decree dated 15.03.2024]

MAT.APP.(F.C.) 192/2024

Page 1 of 2



5. This appeal is directed against the judgment and decree dated 15.03.2024, passed by the learned Principal Judge, Family Court, District North, Rohini Courts, Delhi.
6. *Via* the impugned judgment, the Family Court has granted a decree of divorce in favour of the respondent under Section 13(1)(ia) of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955.
- 6.1 In sum, the Family Court has sustained the allegations levelled against the appellant concerning cruelty.
7. To our minds, the matter requires further examination.
8. Issue notice to the respondent *via* all permissible modes, including email.
9. List the appeal on 24.10.2024.
10. Meanwhile, the Registry will summon the trial court record and place the same before the Court, *albeit* in digitized form, prior to the next date of hearing.

RAJIV SHAKDHER, J

AMIT BANSAL, J

JULY 8, 2024/ds

Click here to check corrigendum, if any