



\$~12

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CS(OS) 572/2022 I.A. 15074/2022 I.A. 22151/2022**

NEETA KAUSHAL

.....Plaintiff

Through: Mr. Sunil Mittal, Senior Advocate,
with Ms. Seema Seth, Advocate, Ms.
Muskaan Deswal, Advocate, Mr.
Satish Panchal, Advocate and Ms
Poorvi Jain, Advocate

versus

ASHOK VASHISHT & ORS.

.....Defendants

Through: Mr. Sunil Manchanda, Advocate for
D-3.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANISH DAYAL

ORDER

04.02.2025

%

1. Adjournment is sought by counsel for defendant no. 3, on the ground that main counsel is not present, due to shifting of offices. They state that they have informed the counsel for plaintiff, however, counsel for plaintiff appears and states that counsel for defendant no.3 has been seeking adjournments repeatedly.
2. Counsel for plaintiff further submits that defendant no.3 is the only opposing party to this suit for partition, filed by plaintiff (*sister of defendant no.3*), claiming 1/4th share in the estate of the deceased mother.
3. The matter is at the stage of framing of issues.
4. Both parties were directed to file the draft issues, by order dated 8th



October 2024, at least three days before the next date of hearing.

5. Both the parties shall file their proposed issues with copies exchanged *inter se* counsel, one week before the next date of hearing.
6. It is made clear that no further adjournments will be granted to counsel for defendant no.3 on the next date of hearing.
7. List on 02nd May 2025.
8. Order be uploaded on the website of this Court.

ANISH DAYAL, J

FEBRUARY 4, 2025/RK