



\$~91

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 13351/2022 and CM APPL. 40512/2022**

NAVKETAN ENGINEERING CORPORATION THROUGH ITS
PARTNER SH ANIL GULATI

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr Abhijat, Sr Advocate with
Mr.Sonam Sharma and Ms Svetlana
Correya, Advocates.

versus

DELHI STATE INDUSTRIAL AND
INFRASTRUCTURE DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION LIMITED
& ORS.

.....Respondents

Through: Ms. Maneesha Dhir, Ms. Varsha
Banerjee, Ms. Vidhi Kapoor, Ms.
Nazuk Kumar, Mr. HH Zaidi, Mr.
Vikas Gupta, Advocates for R-1.
Ms. Kritika Gupta, Advocate for R-
DDA.
Mr.Sanjay Vashishtha, Mr.
Siddhartha Goswami, Mr. Krish
Bhatia, Mr. Aditya Sachdeva,
Advocates for R-DDA

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PURUSHAINDR KUMAR KAURAV

ORDER

%

13.04.2026

1. There are two affidavits, both are dated 27.03.2026, however, the same have been filed on two different dates. In first affidavit, which has been filed vide diary no.138742/2026 vide paragraph no.14, following



position has been taken by Delhi State Industrial and Infrastructure Development Corporation Ltd. (**‘DSI IDC’**):-

“14. That it is submitted that as on date, the Restoration Policy stands finalized and has already been submitted for approval of the competent authority, and the matter is presently under consideration before the Hon’ble Lieutenant Governor.”

2. In the second affidavit, which seems to have been notarised on the same date, however, has been filed on a later date vide diary no.146685/2026, following position has been taken by DSI IDC in paragraph no.14 thereof:-

“14. That it is submitted that as on date, the draft Restoration Policy has already been submitted for approval of the competent authority, and the matter is presently under consideration before the government/ Hon’ble Lieutenant Governor.”

3. The position taken in both the affidavits is contrary to each other. One of the said affidavits has created an impression that the matter is pending for consideration before the Lieutenant Governor. For the said reason, the Court wanted the respondent(s) to clarify and to fix responsibility.

4. It appears that in recent affidavit dated 07.04.2026 vide paragraph no.4, following position has been taken by DSI IDC:-

“4. That the deponent most humbly submits that in addition to the affidavit dated 27.03.2026 filed vide diary No. e-13872 of 2026, an affidavit bearing Diary No.146685/2026 dated 02.04.2026 has also been filed by DSI IDC. The said affidavit at Para 14 clarified that as far as draft Restoration Policy is concerned DSI IDC has already submitted the same for approval of the Competent Authority. It is most humbly submitted that DSI IDC as a public sector undertaking operating under the aegis of Govt. of NCT of Delhi has a limited role in only preparation and submission of a draft Restoration Policy. The process of finalization and adoption of policy being an issue within the domain of Department of Industries require inter departmental consideration including consideration by Chief Secretary, Delhi and final approval by Lt. Governor of Delhi. The affidavit dated 02.04.2026 accordingly, clarifies/



rectifies contents of Para 14 of affidavit dated 27.03.2026 by deleting the words “stands finalised” as mentioned in Para 14.”

5. The Managing Director of respondent no.1 i.e. DSIIDC, who appears in person has tendered unconditional apology for the inadvertence, which has occurred in filing the affidavits. She also submits that the DSIIDC shall in right earnest pursue the matter with higher authorities and shall apprise the Court regarding expected time in finalization of the policy.
6. The aforesaid statement is placed on record.
7. Let the DSIIDC and the respective department to work in tandem in finalization of the policy. Both of them to file their respective affidavits before the next date of hearing.
8. List on 29.04.2026.

PURUSHAINDR KUMAR KAURAV, J

APRIL 13, 2026

Nc