



\$~51 & 52

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

CRL.REV.P. 511/2024, CRL.M.A. 11457/2024

SAPNA GOYAL & ANR.

.....Petitioners

Through: Mr. Mohit Paul and Ms. Sanjleena
Lal, Advocates.

versus

VIKAS GOYAL

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Brijesh Kumar, Ms. Shweta
and Ms. Sheetal Gautam,
Advocates.

52

+ CRL.REV.P. 769/2024, CRL.M.A. 17941/2024

VIKAS GOYAL

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Brijesh Kumar, Ms. Shweta
and Ms. Sheetal Gautam,
Advocates.

versus

SAPNA GOYAL

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Mohit Paul and Ms. Sanjleena
Lal, Advocates.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SAURABH BANERJEE

ORDER

24.03.2026

%

1. Even though the respondent in CRL. REV. P. 511/2024 has filed a response/ reply, however, the same is not on record.
2. Be that as it may, learned counsel for the parties (instead) seek, and are granted, a period of *four weeks* for filing their respective written synopsis not exceeding *three pages*, giving a chronological list of dates



and events and relevant documents, if any, alongwith duly highlighted judgments setting out the propositions of law therein, they wish to rely upon.

3. Renotify on 16.07.2026.

SAURABH BANERJEE, J

MARCH 24, 2026/NA