



\$~21

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ RFA 718/2023, CAV 458/2023, CM APPL. 3957/2025
CM APPL. 11080/2025
SHIV KUMARAppellant

Through: Mr. K. K. Kaul, Advocate.

versus

SHRI ARJUN PRASAD & ORS.Respondents

Through: Counsel (appearance not given).

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUP JAIRAM BHAMBHANI

ORDER

% **16.12.2025**

Respondents Nos. 3 to 6 are yet to be served.

Though Mr. K. K. Kaul, learned counsel for the appellant submits, that they are pro-forma respondents, so long as they have been impleaded as parties in the present proceedings, they are required to be served.

Accordingly, upon the appellant taking steps, let notice be sent to respondents Nos. 3 to 6 by all permissible modes, returnable for the next date.

Furthermore, Office Note dated 18.08.2025 indicates that the appellant has failed to comply with order dated 24.02.2025, directing the appellant to deposit the entire decretal amount alongwith interest with the Registry of this court, subject to which a stay of the execution proceedings was granted.

However, it is the admitted position that the amount directed has not been deposited.

In view thereof, it is clarified that there is *no stay* operating on the impugned judgment and decree dated 16.05.2023 as of date.

Re-notify on 02nd March 2026 before the learned Joint-Registrar.



List before court thereafter.

In the meantime, the parties are directed to file/bring on record the written synopses in compliance of order dated 23.01.2024.

ANUP JAIRAM BHAMBHANI, J

DECEMBER 16, 2025
ds