

\$~6

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CS(OS) 396/2008

VIDUR SAHDEV & ORS

..... Plaintiff

Through: Mr. Sanjiv Bahl and Mr. Eklavya
Bahl, Advocates.

versus

ASHOK SAHDEV

..... Defendant

Through: Mr.Nilesh Kumar, proxy counsel for
Mr.Rakesh Kumar Garg, Adv.

CORAM:

SH. AMIT KUMAR (DHJS), JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)

ORDER

% **11.05.2016**

Mr. M.M. Khurana, Dy. Manager from SBI, Rajpura Road Branch, New Delhi is present and has also produced the computer generated copy of the scanned signature of Mr.Sahdev, Ms.Shakuntala Devi and Mr. Ashok Kumar Sahdev.

It is stated by him that to produce the original signatures, the bank requires the old account numbers as now the record has been digitalised and new number has been given and bank needs some time to trace the old account number and then only the hard copy of the signatures can be traced.

Since defendant himself is one of the joint account holders to this account, he is directed to provide the old account number to concerned

branch manager to assist him in tracing the record as early as possible.

Counsel for defendant submits that they have returned the brief only yesterday and seeks discharge.

Unless and until, appropriate steps are taken for seeking discharge, the counsel cannot be discharged only on his submission.

None is present for other bank i.e. Punjab National Bank, Civil Lines, Delhi despite service.

Let notice under Order 16 Rule 12 CPC be issued to the Branch Manager, P.N.B., Civil Lines, Delhi with direction to be present along with requisite record on the next date of hearing.

Witness/PW3 is present for his cross-examination. However, counsel for defendant No.1 shows his inability as he has returned the brief yesterday.

Matter is also listed for tomorrow. Be so listed for further cross-examination for tomorrow, i.e., **12th May, 2016**.

As far as banks are concerned they are directed to produce the record on **25th July, 2016**.

AMIT KUMAR (DHJS)
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)

MAY 11, 2016/nk