



\$~25

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ CRL.M.C. 4707/2024
LAXMI CHAND GUPTA & ANR.

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Prakhar Mittal, Ms. Vaishali
Shukla petitioners in person.

versus

STATE OF NCT OF DELHI & ANR.

.....Respondent

Through: Ms. Richa Dhawan, Ld. APP for State
with SI Neelam P.S. Sadar Bazar.
Mr. C.M. Grover and Ms. Payal
Budhiraja, Ld. Counsels for R-2.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA

ORDER

10.09.2024

%

1. Learned counsel for respondent No.2 submits that the father-in-law of respondent No.2 has expired and she is unable to appear today and an adjournment is sought.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is 90 years old despite which he has appeared in the Court and is waiting since morning and it is now 03:15 P.M. In case the respondent No.2 who is none other than the daughter of the petitioner was unable to appear, at least she could have done was to inform her father or his counsel about her inability to appear.
3. List on 21.11.2024.
4. Parties are directed to appear in person on the next date of hearing.

NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA, J

SEPTEMBER 10, 2024/va