



\$~13

\*

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+

LA.APP. 235/2022 & CM APPL.40301/2022

UNION OF INDIA

.... Appellant

Through: Ms. Nidhi Thakur, Advocate for  
Mr. Sanjay Kr. Pathak, Advocate

versus

SHANTI DEVI DECEASED THR LEGAL HEIRS & ORS.

..... Respondent

Through: Mr. Gaurav Kumar, Advocate for  
Ms. Ruchika Rathi, Advocate for DSIIDC  
Mr. Roshan Lal Goel and Ms. Anju  
Gupta, Advocates for R-3/DDA

**CORAM: MR. PARVEEN KUMAR UPPAL, REGISTRAR**

**ORDER**

%

**19.02.2024**

1. On 13.09.2022, learned counsel for the appellant undertook to file amended memo of parties impleading DDA as respondent No.3.
2. However, the same has not been filed inspite of number of opportunities.
3. Learned counsel for the appellant is directed to file amended memo of parties in terms of order dated 13.09.2022 within three weeks.
4. Notice could not be issued to respondent Nos.1(b to d) as process fee was returned under objection.
5. On appellant taking fresh steps within two weeks, issue notice to respondent Nos.1(b to d), by all permissible modes including electronic mode, returnable on 29.05.2024.



6. Learned counsel for respondent No.3/DDA submits that he has already filed written submissions and the same may be treated as reply to the application.
7. In the meantime, learned counsel for the appellant to take requisite steps for substitution of LRs of deceased respondent No.1(a), as per law.

**REGISTRAR**

**FEBRUARY 19, 2024**

vk