



Via Video Conferencing

\$~2

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **C.R.P. 190/2019**
VINOD KUMAR SOOD

..... Petitioner

Through **Mr. Harish Malik & Mr. Pareesh
Virmani, Advocates**

versus

BIPIN GUJRAL & ORS

..... Respondent

Through **Mr. Samar Bansal, Advocate**

CORAM:
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL) MS. SAVITRI (DHJS)

ORDER

% **14.01.2022**

1. Mr. Harish Malik, learned counsel for the petitioner has invited my attention to order dated 26th August, 2019 wherein attendance of three Advocates has been recorded for the respondent side.
2. It is also mentioned in that order that notice of the present petition was accepted by the learned counsel for the respondents and they had sought time to file reply.
3. Under this circumstance, the learned counsel has submitted that the respondents need not be served afresh as the respondent side already stood served and were represented by counsels.
4. The learned counsel undertakes to email the copy of order passed today to the learned counsel for the respondents and accordingly the learned



counsel for respondents may file his reply to the present petition within four weeks after receipt of the documents, rejoinder within two weeks thereafter.

5. Put up for completion of pleadings on 31st March, 2022.

MS. SAVITRI (DHJS), JR

JANUARY 14, 2022