



\$~5

*

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+

RFA 226/2018 & CM APPLs.8444-8445/2018

AMIT KUAMR AGARWAL & ORS

..... Appellant

Through: Mr. Keshav Tiwari, Advocate

versus

HAJI ALLAUDDIN & ORS

..... Respondent

Through: Mr. Rakesh Kumar, Advocate for
R-1 to 9

Ms. Mallika Prabhakar, Advocate
for R-15

CORAM: SH. H.K. ARORA, REGISTRAR

ORDER

%

21.01.2022

Today's Court proceedings have been conducted through virtual mode.

CM APPL.22794/2019 (u/O 22 Rule 4 CPC)

Mr. Rakesh Kumar, Advocate appearing for respondent Nos.1 to 9 submits that by mistake, in the vakalatnama filed by him, it has been mentioned as counsel for the petitioners. He is permitted to file a fresh vakalatnama on behalf of respondent Nos.1 to 9.

Learned counsel for respondent Nos.1 to 9 and learned counsel for respondent No.15 seek time to file reply. Let reply, if any, on behalf of the respondents be filed within four weeks with advance

Page 1 of 2



copy to learned counsel for the appellants, who may file rejoinder thereto, if any, before the next date.

List again on 01.04.2022.

REGISTRAR

JANUARY 21, 2022

vk

Page 2 of 2