



\$~2

\* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **RFA 226/2018**

**AMIT KUAMR AGARWAL & ORS** ..... Appellants  
Through **Ms. Nikita Barman, Advocate**

versus

**HAJI ALLAUDDIN & ORS** ..... Respondents  
Through **Mohd. Sajid, R-2 (d).**  
**Mr. K.V. Vibhu, Advocate for R-11**  
**to 13.**  
**Ms. Mansi Kukreja and Ms. Vidhi**  
**Goel, Advocates for R-18 to 20.**

**CORAM:**

**SH. PARVEEN KUMAR UPPAL, REGISTRAR**

**ORDER**

**% 18.10.2019**

**CM APPL. 22794/2019 (application u/O XXII Rule 4 CPC)**

Respondent nos. 1 to 9, 11 to 14 & 18 to 20 have been served.

Respondent nos. 15, 16, 17 & 21 to 23 are unserved.

Counsel for the appellant is directed to take fresh steps within two weeks to serve respondent nos. 15, 16, 17 & 21 to 23, by ordinary process as well as by registered AD post.

In the meantime, the served respondents may file reply to the application within four weeks with advance copy to the opposite party, who shall be at liberty to file the rejoinder, if any, before the next date of hearing.

List on 16.12.2019.

**REGISTRAR**

**OCTOBER 18, 2019/sk**