



\$~7

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ RC.REV. 175/2025

RANI GUPTA

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Jeevesh Nagrath, Sr. Adv.
alongwith Mr. Sameer Abhyankar,
Mr. Krishna Rastogi, Mr. Aryan
Srivastava, Ms. Arushi Chopra and
Ms. Nitya Maheshwari, Advs.

versus

SURINDER KUMAR & ORS.

.....Respondents

Through: Ms. Kirti Uppal, Sr. Adv. alongwith
Mr. Shekhar, Mr. Shekhar Gupta
and Mr. Raghuvendra Pratap Rao,
Advs. for respondents.
Ms. Barkha Babbar and Mr.
Sushmit Mishra, Advs. for
respondents.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SAURABH BANERJEE

ORDER

24.12.2025

%

1. The learned senior counsel for the respondents submits that the learned senior counsel for petitioner is now reiterating the disputes raised by him *qua* non-filing of certain documents, details of which are enumerated in *paragraph no.2* of the reply filed to the present petition. The learned senior counsel further submits that the landlord has filed various documents which were not forming a part of the record before the learned ARC.

2. Considering the aforesaid, it is felt prudent for calling upon the Trial Court Record (*TCR*).



3. As such, let the TCR be requisitioned in the E-form within a period of *four weeks*.
4. Considering the nature of the pleadings and arguments involved, learned senior counsels for the parties seek, and are granted, a period of *four weeks* for filing their respective respective written synopsis not exceeding *five pages*, giving a chronological list of dates and events and relevant documents, if any, alongwith duly highlighted judgments setting out the propositions of law therein, they wish to rely upon.
5. Renotify on 23.02.2026.

SAURABH BANERJEE, J

DECEMBER 24, 2025/bh